



First Results from ALMA (and some others...) on Proto-Planetary Disks

Anne Dutrey (LAB, France)

Many thanks to my close collaborators: S.Guilloteau, V.Pietu, V.Wakelam, F. Hersant, E. DiFolco, Y-W Tang and E.Chapillon





Circumstellar Disks around low-mass PMS Stars Precursor of Solar type stars \rightarrow T Tauri & HAe stars from 0.5 to ~ 2-3 Msun Class II 10 000 AU Class O & I Dark cloud cor Gravitational collapse c),d) Proto-planetary Disks ~ 10^4 (class 0) – 10^5 (Class I) to 10^6 (Class II) years \rightarrow Dust emission optically thick at λ = 1 µm 8000 AU envelope; T Tauri star, disk, outflow disk: outflow 26-10⁷yr t>107 vr Ð optically thin / moderate opacity at λ = 3mm \rightarrow Massive ~ 0.05 \rightarrow 0.01 Msun (H2+Dust) enough gas to form a "proto-Jupiter" 50 AU 100 AT Main-sequence star, Pre-mainremnant disk planetary system (?) \rightarrow Gas rich with Gas/Dust ~ 100 (?) Hogerheijde 1998, after Shu et al. 1987 Class III & Debris disks: Gas Free

1% of dust -> dynamics governed by gas

Circumstellar Disks around low-mass PMS Stars

Class O & I

Precursor of Solar type stars

→ T Tauri & HAe stars from 0.5 to ~ 2-3 Msun Class II

Outline:

Which instruments for which kind of observations ?

Herschel, IRAM 30-M & PdBI, CARMA, SMA, VLA, ALMA

What are the relevant observables ?

- \rightarrow Flux density, Brightness
- → Data Analysis (simple models...)

Results: which quantity are we able to measure ? \rightarrow Geometry (size, cavities, spiral waves ...) ...

- → Temperature, Density, Turbulence ...
- \rightarrow Velocity Field ...
- \rightarrow Dust properties (composition, size) ...
- \rightarrow Molecular Complexity ...







Dark cloud core







Hogerheijde 1998, after Shu et al. 1987

Class III & Debris disks: Gas Free

Which Instruments ? At 150 pc, Uranus \bigcirc = 0.3"

1990 - CO detection -Morphology & Kinematics (Keplerian)

2000- Density / Temperature ? (excitation conditions ?)

2003 - Turbulence ? - from CO

1997- Molecular Complexity ? - HC₃N

- 1990 Dust Disks?
- Surveys: grain growth
- Radial properties

2006 - Inner Cavities around single stars ... -In dust disks

-In Gas disks





Which Instruments ? Tracers ...

Turbulent gaseous dusty Keplerian disk: -Cold (10-100K) outer disk (R> 30 AU): CO, CN – mm/submm - Warm (very) inner disk and surface (R< 10-30 AU): H2, H2O, CO – IR lines





Turbulent gaseous dusty Keplerian disk: -Cold (10-100K) outer disk (R> 30 AU): CO, CN – mm/submm - Warm (very) inner disk and surface (R< 10-30 AU): H2 H2O CO – IR lines







HH211 PdBI CO (~10⁴ years, Class 0)





Herschel Far-IR: unresolved data → integrated flux of the whole object
Envelope (young object) + disk + outflow (& jet)
-HIEI (spectrometer):157-212 & 240-625 μm
-PACS (imaging photometer): 60-85 or 85-130, 130-210 μm
-SPIRE (im. photometer):250, 350, 500 μm & (spectro) 194-324 & 316-671 μm



Constrains on the dust properties Warm molecules at disk surface /jet DATA analysis → Strongly model dependent

Strong hypothesis on the geometry of the system (can be known from resolved interferometric data)



→ Spectroscopy= kinematics

IRAM 30m radiotelescope: unresolved data, 10" at 1.3mm or 1500 AU– λ = 3 to 0.8mm Spectroscopy of many 'cold' molecular lines, integrated spectrum + dust emission



Interferometer: measures the visibility per baseline B

- fourier transform of the intensity of the source
 Angular resolution = Lambda/ Bmax
- Data = specific intensity or brightness distribution (Jy/Beam or K) spectro-imaging \rightarrow acurate kinematics



in Keplerian motions for 1 Msun: 0.1 km/s \equiv 7 AU at 100 AU

VLA, PdBI, SMA, CARMA, ALMA

Prior to ALMA: data at R ~ 0.3" – 2" ~ 40 – 300 AU (1.3- 0.8mm, obtained in 10 years)





Interferometer: measures the visibility per baseline B

- fourier transform of the intensity of the source
 Angular resolution = Lambda/ Bmax
- Data = specific intensity or brightness distribution (Jy/Beam or K) spectro-imaging \rightarrow acurate kinematics



in Keplerian motions for 1 Msun: $0.1 \text{ km/s} \equiv 7 \text{ AU}$ at 100 AU

VLA, PdBI, SMA, CARMA, ALMA

Prior to ALMA: data at R ~ $0.3" - 2" \sim 40 - 300$ AU (1.3- 0.8mm, obtained in 10 years)

ALMA /cycle I: 0.16 - 0.1 ~ 20-15AU (0.8mm & 0.5mm) base ~ 20 km: ~ 0.02" ~ 2 AU (~1mm)



Interferometer: measures the visibility per baseline B

- fourier transform of the intensity of the source
 Angular resolution = Lambda/ Bmax
- Data = specific intensity or brightness distribution (Jy/Beam or K) spectro-imaging \rightarrow acurate kinematics



Data Analysis at Submm/mm

Dutrey et al 1994 – Guilloteau et Dutrey 1998 – Dartois et 2003, Piétu et al 2007 Qi et al 2006, 2008 ... – Hughes et al 2007, 2010, 2011 - Andrews et al 2012 ...

- > Direct comparison of predicted visibilities to observed visibilities
- χ² analysis possible → errorbar
- Rotation : $v(r) = v_0 \cdot (r/r_0)^{-v}$
 - Keplerian : $v = 0.5 \& v_0 = (G.M/r_0)^{1/2} stellar mass measurement$
- Line width : DV = $V(v_{th}^2 + v_{turb}^2)$ thermal + turbulent
- Parametric Laws for Temperature & Density :
 - $T(r) = T_0 \cdot (r/r_0)^{-q}$ vertically isothermal
 - $T(r,z) = T(r) + (T_m T(r))cos((\pi z)/(2z_q))^{2\delta} T(z > z_q) = T(r) \& T_m = T(plane)$
 - $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 . (r/r_0)^{-p}$ power law
 - $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 \cdot (r/r_0)^{-g} \cdot \exp(-(r/r_c)^{2-g})$ viscous model
 - $h(r) = h_0 \cdot (R/r_0)^{h_r}$ or $h(r) = \sqrt{(2kT(r)/(\mu m_H))r/v(r)}$ hydrostatic
 - n(r,z) = n(r,0). exp[$(z/h)^2$]
 - -
- Molecular excitation : LTE / non-LTE
- Sometimes associated to the fit of the SEDs





Fourier plane \rightarrow Image plane

Keplerian Rotation in Disk



 $V(r) \approx \sqrt{(G.M_*/r)}$ + Velocity coherent area at a given velocity + Proportional to dV (local line width)

+ Fraction of disk covered at any velocity: dV / (2V(R_{out}) sin(i))

→Need to take this into account to analyse molecular lines

In EMISSION, Integrated line flux S α R² dV cos(i) <T_{ex}> In ABSORPTION, Equivalent Width W α dV



Compromise angular resol./line opacity: still true with ALMA...

✓Vertical CO line opacitiesResolved emission → Brightness (r) is measured

If optically thick & thermalized lines \rightarrow Tb(r) = Tk(r)

If optically thin & thermalized lines \rightarrow Tb(r) ~ $\Sigma_{mol}(r)/Tk(r)$ for J=1-0

CO J=3-2 and higher lines are not thermalized everywhere







Fig. 6. Comparison of the behavior of the kinetic temperature laws for type I and type II models versus the vertical scale z: $T_k(r = 100 \text{ AU}, z)$. type I: $\gamma = 1.5$ (close to CG97). type II: $\delta = 2, r \leq R_q$ with $R_q = 180 \text{ AU}$ (close to dA99).



Originally based on α -disk model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973)

Viscous disk paradigm predicts exponential edge $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0$ Mimicks radius dependent slope which is steeper outside, flatter inside \rightarrow Viscosity is a power law of radius (with constant exponent in time)

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 \left(\frac{R_0}{r}\right)^{\gamma} \exp\left(-(r/R_c)^{2-\gamma}\right)$$

Kitamura et al 2002, Hughes et al. 2007; Isella et al. 2009; Andrews et al. 2009



- Surface chemistry (on grains)
- (need for a realistic size distribution) - Neutral-neutral (low and high T)
- Ion-neutral

- Photodissociation, photoionization by UV
- Interactions with X rays
- Interactions with cosmic rays



Several molecules observed near the mid-plane or at very cold T~7 K !!!



0, 4) Geometry of gas and dust in Class II objects ? Disk geometry because resolved data from mm interferometery

1) Gas disks: rotation, density, temperature, turbulence ...

2) Dust disks: density, temperature, grain growth, G/D?

3) Molecular complexity: how far can we go?

→ Focus on a few promising examples … AB Auriga TW Hydra

More and more inner (R < 20 AU) Cavities



Rotation of a gas and dust disk?

 $(T = 0 = a \text{ long debate } \dots)$

10⁴ yr ~ Class 0: large (1000 AU or more) flattened envelope

→ Keplerian rotation ?

10⁵ yr ~ Class I: disk shape , what about rotation ? → Keplerian rotation ? In some cases ...

10⁶ yr ~ Class II: rotating (Keplerian) disk

- resolved structure
- some large disks R~ 500-800 AU (sensitivity bias)

Temperature in the molecular layer: towards a (partly) cold molecular layer ?

- CO/¹³CO PdBI data: *Dartois et al 2003, Pietu et al 2007*
- → First evidence for a gas temperature vertical gradient
- \rightarrow The outer disk is cold @ r ~ 100 AU, Tk~ 10 K

(a priori no excitation problem: J=1-0 & J=2-1)

Similar Results: ~ 8-10K at 100 AU - PdBI images of DM Tau and/or LkCa15

- CCH J=2-1 (CID): *Henning et al 2010*
- CN/HCN J=1-0 & J=2-1 (CID): *Chapillon et al 2011*
- H2O low Tspin (13.5 +/- 0.5 K) in TW hydra (Herschel): Hogerheijde et al 2011
- CS J= 3-2 & 5-4 (CID): *Guilloteau* et al 2012
- Role of vertical/Radial mixing ? *Semenov et al., 2006, Aikawa 2007*
- Accuracy of photo-desorption rates ? Oberg et al 2007...
 → Effect on CO chemistry by Hersant et al 2009



H2O Paradigme – Herschel data on TW Hya Hogerheijde et al 2011

A cold reservoir of (icy) water with a desorption at low temperature ?



Tspin = icy grain temperature

TW Hydra: Tspin = 13.5 +/- 0.5 K

CS Temperature and Molecular Layer in DM Tau Guilloteau et al 2012



- 1.4 x 1.0" resolution images PdBI data
-0.126 km/s spectral resolution (with 0.080 km/s channel spacing)

- CS 3-2

Temperature:

- CS J=5-4 line flux confirms low temperature.
- Best fit 11 +/- 2 K (3-2 + 5-4)

Density:

Best model given by a « cold » molecular layer



Fig. 1. Channel maps of the CS J=3-2 emission towards DM Tau. The angular resolution is 1.4" × 1.0" and the spectral resolu-

tion 0.16 km s⁻¹. Contour spacing is 10 mJy/beam, corresponding to 2 a and 0.4 K brightness. The cross indicates the position

Fig. 5. Predicted CS J=5-4 line flux from the best fit models derived from CS J=3-2 observations, as a function of assumed kinetic temperature profile. The 4 curves corresponding to the different exponents q = 0, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 are essentially degenerate. Errorbars are $\pm 1\sigma$. The shaded gray area indicates the measured line flux and its $\pm 1\sigma$ range. Calibration uncertainty is not included.

$$\Sigma_{CS}(r) = X_{CS}^0 \left(\frac{r}{R_0}\right)^{-p_{CS}} min(\Sigma_d, \Sigma_H(r)).$$

ALMA??

Fitted 3-2	Density Model				
Value	(A) Power Law	(B) Tapered Edge 2468336			
χ^2	2468353				
H_0 (AU) (a)	[16]	9 ± 1.5			
T ₀ (K) (b)	7.2 ± 0.4	8.0 ± 1.3			
q	0.63 ± 0.09	0.60 ± 0.20			
$\Sigma_{\rm CS}$ (cm ⁻²) (b)	$5.9 \pm 2.5 10^{12}$	S 1			
X _{CS} (b)	participation of the second	$4.2 \pm 4.8 10^{-10}$			
PCS	0.13 ± 0.20	0.39 ± 0.18			
Σ_d (cm ⁻²)	1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -	$\approx 10^{21.7 \pm 0.1}$			
Rout (AU)	540 ± 10	> 580			



→ Wait for CN 3-2 from ALMA ...

•H₂D⁺ formed in gas phase only at low temperature, easily destroyed by CO, N₂

 $\mathrm{H_3^+} + \mathrm{HD} \rightarrow \mathrm{H_2D^+} + \mathrm{H_2} \quad // \quad \mathrm{H_2D^+} + \mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{DCO^+} + \mathrm{H_2} \quad - \quad \mathrm{H_2D^+} + \mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO^+} + \mathrm{HD}$



10

• Analysis: chemical model from Parise et al 2011

Turbulence versus radius in disks Molecular lines & dust emisssion

Dartois et al 2003, Pietu et al 2007 – PdBI data, DM Tau, MWC480, LKCa15

• **Turbulence** -> Amplitude of the local velocity fluctuations

• **Spectrum** local line-width given by $DV = \sqrt{(v_{th}^2 + v_{turb}^2)}$

• Link to a (viscosity parameter) depends on the nature of the turbulence of the order of $\approx \sqrt{\alpha.c_s} - \alpha.c_s$, with sound speed c_s such as H(r) $\approx c_s/\Omega$

→If the molecular disk is spatially, spectrally resolved \rightarrow DV(r) →Since lines have different opacities \rightarrow DV(z)

• So far, CO and isotopologues (¹³CO, C¹⁸O) \rightarrow Subsonic broadening DV ~ 0.1 – 0.4 km/s < c_s ALMA: DV(r,z) Spec.&.Ang. Resol

Well suited for ALMA sensitivity and angular, spectral resolution
 If the thermal structure is properly constrained, linked to line opacity, density structure ... etc

 \rightarrow Will remain the main uncertainty, even with ALMA

See also Hughes et al 2011: TW Hya, HD163296



« **CS** » Turbulence in DM Tau Guilloteau et al 2012



Fig. 4. Derived nonthermal linewidth dV_0 at $R_v = 300$ AU as a function of assumed kinetic temperature profile. T_0 is the temperature at $R_t = 300$ AU. The 4 curves correspond to different exponents q = 0 (red), 0.2 (green), 0.4 (blue), and 0.6 (cyan). Errorbars are $\pm 1\sigma$.



Fig. 5. Predicted CS J=5-4 line flux from the best fit models derived from CS J=3-2 observations, as a function of assumed kinetic temperature profile. The 4 curves corresponding to the different exponents q = 0, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 are essentially degenerate. Errorbars are $\pm 1\sigma$. The shaded gray area indicates the measured line flux and its $\pm 1\sigma$ range. Calibration uncertainty is not included.

- To reduce the thermal contribution \rightarrow better to use a « heavy molecule » such as CS

$$\Delta V(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2kT(r)}{\mu m_H} + \delta V_{\rm tu}(r)^2}$$

where $\mu = 44$ is the CS molecular weight

- 1.4 x 1.0" resolution images
- 0.126 km/s spectral resolution (with 0.080 km/s channel spacing)

 Non-thermal turbulent width as function of assumed temperature

dV = 0.12 km/s for best fit T = 8 +/- 1 K from CS J=3-2

This corresponds to Mach ~ 0.3 - 0.5



Chemistry & molecular Surveys CLASS II



 Detections prior to ALMA: CO, ¹³CO, C¹⁸O (many papers)

HCO⁺, CN, HCN, HNC, CS, H₂CO and C₂H (Dutrey et al 1997: DM Tau & GG Tau, Henning et al 2010, CID, Chapillon et al 2012, CID: MWC480, DM Tau, LkCa15)

DCO⁺ (van Dishoeck et al 2004: TW Hya, Guilloteau et al 2006, DM Tau)

N₂H⁺ (Dutrey et al 2007, DM Tau, LkCa 15, CID)

DCN (Qi et al 2008: TW Hya)

H¹³CO+ (Qi et al 2008: TW Hya)

H₂O (Herschel, Bergin et al 2010, Hogerheijde et al 2011)

 \rightarrow HC₃N (IRAM 30-m, Chapillon et al 2012, in DM Tau, GO Tau, MWC480 and LkCa15, CID)

• Deep unsuccesful search

H₂D⁺ (APEX+ JCMT, Chapillon et al 2011 in DM Tau & TW Hya) - no detection Sulfur-bearing molecules: CCS, H2S (IRAM 30-m, Dutrey et al 2011, Chapillon et al 2012, CID)



CLASS II



• ALMA Era - How far can we go in term of complexity ?

 \rightarrow H₂O (Herschel, Bergin et al 2010, Hogerheijde et al 2011)

 \rightarrow HC₃N (IRAM 30-m, Chapillon et al 2012, in DM Tau, GO Tau, MWC480 and LkCa15, CID) First cyanopolyyne: the more complex molecule detected to far

• Deep unsuccesful search \rightarrow H₂D⁺, ALMA cycle 0 proposal by Qi et al – no result yet ...

• New detections ...

→ ALMA verification time 1 & Cycle I: binary proto-star (Class 0) IRAS16293-2422 – Jorgensen et al 2012 – simplest sugar: Glycolaldehyde (HCOCH₂OH)

→ ALMA verification time: HD163296, Herbig Ae (A0) Star of 2.4 Msun

- Qi et al 2013, submitted $c- C_3H_2$
- surrounded by a large (& warm) CO disk

HOW FAR CAN WE GO?

ALMA verification time 1 & Cycle I: binary proto-star (Class 0) IRAS16293-2422

Jorgensen et al 2012 - simplest sugar: Glycolaldehyde (HCOCH2OH) Low-mas proto-star Located at 120 pc

Binary of separation ~ 5"

Unresolved: 2.5"x 1."

Several complex molecules

Glycolaldehyde already detected towards - Galactic Center – SgrB2 -Hot molecular core: G31.41

-Tentative detection of ethylene glycol

- Emphasize the importance of UV photochemistry

CH3OH-CO ice mildly heated



Fig. 1.— Spectra in the central beams toward the continuum peaks of IRAS16293A (upper) and IRAS16293B (lower). Fits from LTE models of the methyl formate (blue) and glycolaldehyde (red) emission are overplotted. The purple line indicates the model fit to the possible ethylene glycol transition. The X-axis represents the frequencies in the rest frame of the system (i.e., corrected for the system $V_{\rm LSR}$ of 3 km s⁻¹). The green line is an indication of the RMS level (13 mJy beam⁻¹) represented by a spectrum extracted from an off source position. Note the much narrower lines toward IRAS16293B which facilitate identification of individual features.

ALMA verification time 1 & Cycle I: binary proto-star (Class 0) IRAS16293-2422



Fig. 1. Left: Map of CO J=6–5 emission towards I16293 with contours at 6, 12, 18, ... σ of integrated intensity. Integration limits are –10 to +1 km s⁻¹ and +7 to +18 km s⁻¹ for the blue- and red-shifted emission, respectively. The underlying gray-scale image shows continuum emission at 690 GHz. The positions of sources A and B are marked; source A consists of the two sources A1 and A2. Offsets are recorded from 16^h32^m22^s753; -24°28'34''.747 (J2000).

with a cross and the beam is shown as a

Right: The emission integrated over the highest velocities (from -10 to -4 km s⁻¹ and +12 to +18 km s⁻¹) is shown in contours at 5, 10, 15, ... σ . The different features are highlighted by arrows and are labeled. The black dashed arrow is an extrapolation of the red lobe of the NW-SE outflow.

m (Fig. 4).

ALMA verification time 1: HD163296, Herbig Ae 2.3 Msun, 4Myr old Located at 120 pc - Class II

Isella et al 2009. Tilling et al 2012

Large molecular disk CO extends up to 500 AU

Several 'mm' molecules ... CO, ¹³CO, H₂CO ...

Several warm gas lines OI, CII, OH, H2O, H2 CO 36-35, ... \rightarrow Inner disk surface or jet

SMA observations - Qi et al 2011



ALMA verification time 1: HD163296, Herbig Ae 2.3 Msun, 4Myr old Located at 120 pc – Class II

Warm source !

Qi et al 2013 - c-C3H2 J= 6-5 detected (1.3mm, 217.88 GHz) !

Ang.Resol ~ 0.9" x 0.7" or ~ 100 AU

Large molecular disk CO extends up to 500 AU

Several molecules ... CO, CS, H2CO ...

→ Disk origin ...

Table 1: c-C₃H₂ line results.

c-C3H2 is a (very) small cyclic Hydrocarbon One of the most abundant molecules in ISM



Fig. 1.— The integrated intensity maps summed between 0 and 11 km s⁻¹) and intensityweighted mean velocity fields of ¹³CO 2 – 1 and c-C₃H₂ 6 – 5 lines (left panel), c-C₃H₂ 5 – 4 and 3 – 2 lines (right panel) toward HD 163296. The resolved velocity field of the c-C₃H₂ 6 – 5 line agrees with the CO kinematics. In the c-C₃H₂ maps, the first contour marks 3σ followed by 1σ contour increases. The rms varies between 6 and 9 mJy km s⁻¹ per beam. Synthesized beams are presented in the lower left corners. The star symbol indicates the continuum (stellar) position. The axes are offsets from the pointing center in arcseconds.

Transition Frequency (GHz) $E_u(K)$ Beam $3_{3,0} - 2_{2,1}$ 19 $1''_{...3} \times 1''_{...2}(76^{\circ})$ 53[9]216.279 $6_{1.6} - 5_{0.5}/6_{0.6} - 5_{1.5}$ 217.822 $0''.9 \times 0''.7(83^\circ)$ 185[10]39 $1''.3 \times 1''.2(78^{\circ})$ $5_{1,4} - 4_{2,3}$ 217.9403574[9]

Fdv (mJy km)C3H2 extends from 35 to 165 AU53[9]Rout coincides with the (CO) snow-line53[9]CO freeze-out limit the formation185[10]of hydrocarbons in gas phase?



CLASS II



• ALMA Era - How far can we go in term of complexity ?

 \rightarrow H₂O (Herschel, Bergin et al 2010, Hogerheijde et al 2011)

 \rightarrow HC₃N (IRAM 30-m, Chapillon et al 2012, in DM Tau, GO Tau, MWC480 and LkCa15, CID) First cyanopolyyne: the more complex molecule detected to far

• Deep unsuccesful search \rightarrow H₂D⁺, ALMA cycle 0 proposal by Qi et al – no result yet ...

• New detections ...

→ ALMA verification time 1 & Cycle I: binary proto-star (Class 0) IRAS16293-2422 – Jorgensen et al 2012 – simplest sugar: Glycolaldehyde (HCOCH₂OH)

- → ALMA verification time: HD163296, Herbig Ae (A0) Star of 2 Msun
- Qi et al 2013, submitted $c C_3 H_2$
- surrounded by a large (& warm) CO disk

SoFar, only the more abundant molecules seen in the ISM have been observed in DISKS



1990 Beckwith et al – 1.2mm survey using IRAM 30-m1996 Dutrey et al - 3mm survey using IRAM array (PdBI)

Miyake et Nakagawa 1993, 1995

 $K_v = K_o (v/n_o)^{\beta} (cm^2/g)$

 $\beta = 1.7 \equiv$ ISM-like 'sub-µm' sized $\beta = 0.5 \equiv$ 'mm-cm' sized

+ Mm properties of disks:

+ large particles

+ Size of 'mm' disks ~ 1-2 " (bulk of 'mm dust' emission)

2002: Kitamura et al

First analysis of mm maps+SEDs Power law & viscous model →Best model/source

→T, q, Σ, p ... →Dust β ~ 1, grain growth



Dust in Proto-planetary Disks Self similar surface density distributions

Guilloteau, Dutrey, Piétu, Boehler 2011 PdBI data at 2.7 and 1.3mm ~ 20 sources best resolution ~ 0.3" or 40-50 AU



Fig. 12. Surface densities of observed sources. Thick lines are for sources in which a variation of β and thus κ with radius was derived. Thin lines are for sources for which we assumed $\kappa(1.3 \text{ mm} = 2 \text{ cm}^2.\text{g}^{-1}.$ The grey line is the MMSN, while the yellow area indicates the Solar Nebula from Desch 2007.

Viscous disk paradigm predicts exponential $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 \left(\frac{R_0}{r}\right)^{\gamma} \exp\left(-(r/R_c)^{2-\gamma}\right)$

Mimicks radius dependent slope Steeper outside, flatter inside

(See also Hughes et al. 2007; Isella et al. 2009; Andrews et al. 2009)

ALMA: $0.1" = 15 \text{ AU} \sim H(r) \text{ at } 100 \text{ AU}$ $\rightarrow \text{LARGE CONTINUUM SURVEY}$ $\rightarrow \text{precise } \beta \text{ (spectral dependence)}$ $\rightarrow \text{measurements (several bands)}$ $\rightarrow \Sigma(\text{dust}) \text{ RESOLVED}$

Viscosity is a power law of radius - with constant viscosity and γ in time

Dust in Proto-planetary Disks grain radial distribution

PdBI data at 2.7 and 1.3mm (best resolution ~ 0.3") - Survey of ~ 20 sources *Guilloteau, Dutrey, Piétu, Boehler 2011*

- Analysis: Compare « power laws » and « viscous » models for surface density

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 \left(\frac{R_0}{r}\right)^{\gamma} \exp\left(-(r/R_c)^{2-\gamma}\right)$$

- Results:

-In most cases no significant differences between both models BUT

- β , the dust spectral index, varies with radius ! $K_v = K_o (v / 230 \text{ GHz})^{\beta(r)}$

Impacts on

- the local gas/dust ratio, varies in (z,r)
- the extinction curve in the UV (z,r)
- disk UV field and chemistry

Red: $0 \le \beta(r) \le 1.7$ (ISM) Blue: simple power law for $\beta(r)$ $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_$

\rightarrow This result is confirmed by new VLA/CARMA surveys !

Dust in Proto-planetary Disks grain radial distribution in AS209

Perez et al 2012

VLA, CARMA, SMA data at ~ 0.3"-0.7" Data at 9.8 & 8.0 mm, 1.3mm, 0.8mm

Multi-wavelength modelling (viscous model)

Best model → Larger grains in the the inner disk



Figure 3. Continuum emission toward AS 209, observed at 0.88 mm (top panels), 2.8 mm (middle panels), and 8.0 and 9.8 mm (combined through multi-frequency synthesis, bottom panels). Each observation, accompanied by the best-fit disk emission and a residual map obtained by subtracting the best-fit model from the observations, used Briggs weighting with robust = 0.7 (SMA, CARMA), while VLA data used natural weighting. Contours start $a - 3\sigma$, stepping by 3σ (CARMA, SMA) and 6σ (VLA) where σ is the rms noise on each man grave = 4.4 mJy beam⁻¹ grave = 0.47 mJy beam⁻¹ grave = 0.01 mJy beam⁻¹

Dust in Proto-planetary Disks grain radial distribution in AS209

Perez et al 2012

VLA, CARMA, SMA data at ~ 0.3" Data at 7mm, 1.3mm, 0.8mm



igure 4. Left: dust opacity spectral slope, β , vs. radius, inferred from multi-wavelength observations of the AS 209 disk. Black line: best-fit $\beta(R)$, co onfidence interval constrained by our observations. Vertical dashed lines indicate the spatial resolution of our observations, error bar in top-left corn iditional systematic uncertainty on $\beta(R)$ arising from amplitude calibration uncertainty. Right: dust opacity (normalized at 300 GHz) for a_{max} between 0.1

Self-similar viscous model ... turbulence behaviour - not so simple...



Fig. 13. Characteristic radius R_c (in AU) as a function of estimated stel- $Log_{10}(Age/Myr)$ lar ages (in Log_{10} of 10^6 years).

Self similar viscous model: viscosity is a time independent power law of radius \rightarrow ALMA ...

 $\alpha(R_{100}) = \frac{R_c^{(2-\gamma)} R_{100}^{\gamma}}{3(2-\gamma)^2 c_s(R_{100}) H(R_{100})}$

ALMA: dust and gas disk around the BD ρ-oph 102– Ricci et al 2012

- TTauri-like phase (disk & outflow)
- ρ -oph 102: 60 Mjup (or 0.06 Msun) and spectral type M6
- D = 130 pc \rightarrow Resolution of 0.89mm data: 0.6 = 70 AU
- \rightarrow Grain growth should be less efficient than in TTauri disks



Figure 1. Continuum maps of ρ -Oph 102. Left panel) Continuum map at 0.89 mm. White contour lines are drawn at $-3, 3, 6, 9, ..., 18\sigma$, where $\sigma = 0.22$ mJy/beam is the rms noise measured on the map. Right) Continuum map at 3.2 mm. White contour lines are drawn at 3 and 6σ , where $\sigma = 0.031$ mJy/beam. In each panel, the yellow filled ellipse in the lower left corner indicates the size of the synthesized beam, i.e. FWHM = $0.71'' \times 0.54''$, PA = 100 deg at 0.89 mm, and FWHM = $1.82'' \times 1.50''$, PA = 66 deg at 3.2 mm. For both maps, a Briggs weighting with robust parameter = 2 (natural weighting) was used to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio.

ALMA: dust and gas disk around the BD ρ-oph 102– Ricci et al 2012

• TTauri-like phase (disk & outflow)

GAS DISK: CO J=3-2 is detected

- ρ -oph 102: 60 Mjup (or 0.06 Msun) and spectral type M6
- D = 130 pc \rightarrow Resolution of 0.89mm data: 0.6 = 70 AU



GAS disk:

Consistent with gas in Keplerian rotation in an inclined disk orbiting at distances R > 10 AU from a 0.06 Msun BD

Limited data but

Gas Rich disk with large grains !

Figure 2. CO(J = 3 - 2) maps of ρ -Oph 102. Left panel) Moment 0 CO(J = 3 - 2) map. White contour lines are drawn at 2, 4, 6σ , where $\sigma = 45$ mJy/beam·km/s is the measured rms-noise. Right) Moment 1 CO(J = 3 - 2) map. In each panel, the magenta filled ellipse in the lower left corner indicates the size of the synthesized beam, i.e. FWHM = $0.57'' \times 0.46''$, PA = 99 deg. For the imaging, we adopted a Briggs weighting with robust parameter = 0 and considered only projected baselines longer than 70 k λ to highlight the emission from structures with angular scales $\leq 3''$. White pixels have been masked out for the computation of the moment maps.



- TW Hydra , 0.8 Msun, age ~ 10⁷ yr
- a CO disk of about Rout = 150 AU
- most nearby protoplanetary disk at 55 pc (dec. -34)
- nearly face on (7º)
- Dust depleted cavity R < 4 AU (Hughes et al 2009)
- Dust, CO J=3-2: disk structure, kinematics, M_{*}, chemistry



SMA data, Resolution ~ 3"

Qi et al. 2004, 2006

Recent estimates: M2.5V, 0.4 Msun, and 3 Myr (Vacca & Sandell 2011)

TW Hya SMA

- CO (6-5)
- Hot, dense, gas
- X ray heating

C. Qi et al 2006

X-ray Heating is needed to explain the strength of the CO 6-5 line

Blue: Canonical Model (Calvet et al. 2002, Qi et al. 2004) Red: Model with X ray heating







ALMA DATA CO J=2-1 & J=3-2

Rosenfeld et al 2012

Table 2 Model Parameters									
Units	Fiducial	High-q	High- M_*	High-i	Hot	Non-Kep	Warp		
(cm ⁻²)	19.00	19.42	19.28	19.60	19.00	18.81	18.83		
	0.99	0.99	0.66	0.90	0.99	0.94	0.93		
(AU)	28	28	36	24	28	32	33		
(K)	77	110	75	68	77	100	100		
(K)	88	115	94	90	88	99	104		
	0.38	0.65	0.39	0.32	0.38	0.49	0.53		
	0.44	0.65	0.51	0.49	0.44	0.49	0.53		
(m s ⁻¹)	20	20	10	10	20	20	15		
(M_{\odot})	0.8	0.8	1.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		
(°)	5.8	5.8	6.0	8.0	5.8	5.7	7.5		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.15		
(AU)						57			
	0	0	0	0	0	0.15	0		
	1	1	1	1	3	1	1		
	Units (cm^{-2}) (AU) (K) (K) (K) (M) (M_{\odot}) (AU) (M_{\odot})	Units Fiducial (cm^{-2}) 19.00 0.99 (AU) 28 (K) 77 (K) 88 0.38 0.44 $(m s^{-1})$ 20 (M_{\odot}) 0.8 (°) 5.8 0 (AU) 0 (AU) 0 1	Units Fiducial High-q (cm ⁻²) 19.00 19.42 0.99 0.99 (AU) 28 28 (K) 77 110 (K) 88 115 0.38 0.65 0.44 0.65 (Mo) 0.8 0.8 (°) 5.8 5.8 0 0 (AU)	Inits Fiducial High-q High- M_* (cm ⁻²) 19.00 19.42 19.28 0.99 0.99 0.66 (AU) 28 28 36 (K) 77 110 75 (K) 88 115 94 0.38 0.65 0.39 0.44 0.65 0.51 (m s ⁻¹) 20 20 10 (M_{\odot}) 0.8 0.8 1.5 (°) 5.8 5.8 6.0 0 0 0 (AU) 1	Table 2 Model Parameters Units Fiducial High- q High- M_* High- i (cm ⁻²) 19.00 19.42 19.28 19.60 0.99 0.99 0.66 0.90 (AU) 28 28 36 24 (K) 77 110 75 68 (K) 88 115 94 90 0.38 0.65 0.39 0.32 0.44 0.65 0.51 0.49 (m s ⁻¹) 20 20 10 10 (M_{\odot}) 0.8 0.8 1.5 0.8 (°) 5.8 5.8 6.0 8.0 0 0 0 0 (AU) 0 0 0 0 0 (0 0 0 0 (0.1 1 1<	Table 2 Model Parameters Units Fiducial High- q High- M_* High- i Hot (cm ⁻²) 19.00 19.42 19.28 19.60 19.00 0.99 0.99 0.66 0.90 0.99 (AU) 28 28 36 24 28 (K) 77 110 75 68 77 (K) 88 115 94 90 88 0.38 0.65 0.39 0.32 0.38 0.44 0.65 0.51 0.49 0.44 (m s ⁻¹) 20 20 10 10 20 (M_{\odot}) 0.8 0.8 1.5 0.8 0.8 0 0 0 0 0 (M_{\odot}) 5.8 5.8 6.0 8.0 5.8 0 0 0 0 0 (M_{\odot})	Table 2 Model Parameters Units Fiducial High-q High-M* High-i Hot Non-Kep (cm ⁻²) 19.00 19.42 19.28 19.60 19.00 18.81 0.99 0.99 0.66 0.90 0.99 0.94 (AU) 28 28 36 24 28 32 (K) 77 110 75 68 77 100 (K) 88 115 94 90 88 99 0.38 0.65 0.39 0.32 0.38 0.49 (m s ⁻¹) 20 20 10 10 20 20 (M_{\odot}) 0.8 0.8 1.5 0.8 0.8 0.8 (°) 5.8 5.8 6.0 8.0 5.8 5.7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (M_{\odot})		

Notes. The parameter values adopted in the modeling analysis in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. Each column corresponds to a different model type, and each row represents a different model parameter (the subscript "10" denotes that parameter value at r = 10 AU). Note that only the "warp" model has a spatially varying disk inclination: In all other cases $i_{10} = i$ at all radii, and y = 0 by definition (see Section 3.3.3). The parameter r_b is only defined for the "non-Keplerian" model; in all other cases x = 0 (or f = 1, at all radii; see Section 3.3.2). The parameter δT corresponds to a constant scaling of the temperature profile for r < 4 AU in the "hot" model only: All other models have $\delta T = 1$ by definition.

A central warp ?

(scattered light image Roberge et al 2005)

TW Hydra: Herschel detection of HD J=1-0 & 2-1

By Bergin et al 2013, Nature

 \rightarrow Almost a direct measurement of the GAS Mass !



Based on the new data, the mass of TW Hydrae's disc is equivalent to ~50 Mjup, towards the high-mass end of the previous range of estimates (0.0005-0.06 MSun).

→ Mdisk(total) > 0.05 Msun

This disc has the potential to build a planetary system like our own, or possibly even more complex and exotic than the Solar System

IS THIS A UNIQUE CASE ?



Inner Disks & Cavities What the observation tells us ...

Geometry of inner gas and dust disks ? \rightarrow Inner ~ R <= 20 AU (snowline ~ 1-3 AU for Ttauri star...)

(T = 0)
10⁴ yr ~ Class 0: large (1000 AU or more) flattened envelope → WAIT for ALMA results
10⁵ yr ~ Class I: disk shape , what about rotation ? → Some holes in binary systems ... CB26 ?
10⁶ yr ~ Class II: rotating (Keplerian) disk - resolved structure - some large disks R~ 500-800 AU (likely biaised)
→ More and more inner cavities and spiral features ... → Transition disks / planet formation (G/D ~ 100)

Geometry from CO ... The GM Aur case

Dutrey et al., 2008 (CID) → Rin = 20 AU Spectroscopic detection

Hughes et al., 2009 – same dust inner radius



Analysis 12CO, 13CO, C18O 1-0, 2-1 PdBI data

The cavity is «devoid » of dust and gas

CO line wings are tidally truncated $\$ super resolution $\$ \rightarrow companion: is this a planet ? (mass ~ 5 – 10 Mjup)

Cavity Radius < Pluto's Orbit



Geometry thermal dust emission LkCa15



PdBI: The A+ configuration provides baselines up to 750m (0.3" or 30 AU). **Pietu et al., 2006** The observations were done in track-sharing mode.

When does planet formation start?



When does planet formation start ?

Geometry from thermal dust emission

LkCa15 inner disk and gap ...

ALMA will allow observers to trace the amount of material

(CO & dust) left in the cavity



Des p'tit's trous, des p'tits trous, toujours des p'tits trous ...



HB G

Geometry from thermal dust emission



ALMA with 0.03" or 2-3 AU resolution at 0.8mm should resolve it ...

From Resolved Images of Large Cavities in Protoplanetary Transition Disks by Andrews et al. 2011 ApJ

First glance inside a planet forming cavity in a large gas-rich disk ?

The star HD142527 D=140 pc (D=198 pc) Herbig Ae - F6 ~ 2.2 Msun Age ~ 5 Myr

The gas and dust disk Radius ~ 980 AU

•IR imaging at 1.65 and 2.2 µm by

Fukagawa et al 2006
Thermal IR imaging
→ Spiral features & cavity

mm/submm dust disk mapsLarge CO disk

•Far-IR & IR: warm molecules
→ Very inner disk





FIG. 1.—*H*- (top) and *K*-band (bottom) images of the disk around HD 142527. The central software mask has a radius of r = 0.66 (top) and r = 0.75 (bottom). The images are displayed in logarithmic scale. In the upper left-hand corner of the bottom nanel, the image taken with the smaller occulting mask (0% in diameter)

First glance inside a planet forming cavity in a large gas-rich disk ?

The star HD142527 D=140 pc (D=198 pc) Herbig Ae - F6 ~ 2.2 Msun Age ~ 5 Myr

The gas and dust disk Radius ~ 980 AU

IR imaging at 1.65 µm by Fukagawa et al 2006
Thermal IR imaging

→ Spiral features & cavity

mm/submm dust disk mapsLarge CO disk

Far-IR & IR: warm molecules
→ Very inner disk



Fig. 4. Central component-subtracted VISIR $18.72 \,\mu\text{m}$ image of HD 142527. The color bar shows the surface brightness with a cut-off at 3.1 Jy/arcsec². The overplotted contours from the 24.5 μ m Subaru image are at 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 5.3 Jy/arcsec².

First glance inside a planet forming cavity in a large gas-rich disk?

The star HD142527 D=140 pc (D=198 pc) Herbig Ae - F6 ~ 2.2 Msun Age ~ 5 Myr

The gas and dust disk Radius ~ 980 AU

•IR imaging at 1.65 μ Fukagawa et al 2006 •Thermal IR imaging → Spiral features & c

•mm/submm dust disk •Large CO disk



•Far-IR & IR: warm me FIG. 5.—Schematic view of the model of the HD 142527 system. The gap 2527 between the inner and outer disks exists at r = 80-170 AU. \rightarrow Very inner disk ttom).

> ages are suspinyes in regaring the owner. In the opper reas bottom panel, the image taken with the smaller occulting mask (0"6 in diameter)

of the



D=140 pc (D=198 pc) Herbig Ae - F6 ~ 2.2 Msun Age ~ 5 Myr

The gas and dust disk Radius ~ 980 AU

IR imaging at 1.65 µm by
Fukagawa et al 2006
Thermal IR imaging

→ Spiral features & cavity

mm/submm dust disk mapsLarge CO disk

Far-IR & IR: warm molecules
→ Very inner disk





Fig. 4. Central component-subtracted VISIR $18.72 \,\mu\text{m}$ image of HD 142527. The color bar shows the surface brightness with a cut-off at 3.1 Jy/arcsec². The overplotted contours from the 24.5 μ m Subaru image are at 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 5.3 Jy/arcsec².

First glance inside a planet forming cavity in a large gas-rich disk ?

The star HD142527 D=140 pc (D=198 pc) Herbig Ae - F6 ~ 2.2 Msun Age ~ 5 Myr

The gas and dust disk Radius ~ 980 AU

•IR imaging at 1.65 µm by Fukagawa et al 2006

- → Spiral features & cavity
- mm/submm dust disk mapsLarge CO disk
- •Far-IR & IR: warm molecules → Very inner disk



From Pontoppidan et al 2011, CRIRES spectro-astrometry Line shape is double peaked as in Keplerian rotation Emission arises as clase as R ~ 0.2 AU of

at

m-

First glance inside a planet forming cavity in a large gas-rich disk ?

The star HD142527 D=140 pc (D=198 pc) Herbig Ae - F6 ~ 2.2 Msun Age ~ 5 Myr

The gas and dust disk Radius ~ 980 AU

IR imaging at 1.65 µm by Fukagawa et al 2006
Thermal IR imaging
→ Spiral features & cavity

•mm/submm dust disk maps
•Large CO disk
→Inner hole and gas

Herschel: warm molecules
→ Very inner disk the star





First glance inside a planet formi cavity in a large gas-rich disk?

The star HD142527 D=140 pc Herbig Ae - F6 ~ 2.2 Msun Age ~ 5 Myr

The gas and dust disk Radius ~ 980 AU

•IR imaging at 1.65 µm by Fukagawa et al 2006 Thermal IR imaging

 \rightarrow Spiral features & cavity

•mm/submm dust disk maps •Large CO disk

•Far-IR & IR: warm molecules \rightarrow Very inner disk



follow from Fig. 1. We show 345 GHz continuum, from Fig. 1a, overlaid on the 2 μ m image from Fig. 1c. Contour levels for the continuum are as in Fig. 1a.



Fig. 4. Central component-subtracted VISIR $18.72\,\mu m$ image HD 142527. The color bar shows the surface brightness with a cut-off 3.1 Jy/arcsec². The overplotted contours from the 24.5 μ m Subaru i age are at 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 5.3 Jy/arcsec².

are shown in SI). The near-IK emission abuts onto the inner rim of the norseshoe-



- Pietu et al 2005: PdBI:
- ¹³CO & ¹²CO + dust
- Ring + envelope
- Inner hole: R_{in} = 70 -100 AU
- Low inclination ~ 25-35 °
- Rotation is not Keplerian!
- $V(r) = V_o (r/r_o)^{-0.41+/-0.01}$
- ➔ Not self-gravitating ...
- → Youth ?
- Tang et al 2012: SMA+PdBI+30m
- ¹³ CO & ¹²CO + dust
- Still not Keplerian ...
- Spirals in the envelope
- Counter rotation /disk rotation
- → Accretion above/below mid-plane:
- \rightarrow Projection effects

How to reconcile everything ?



Tang et al 2012: SMA+PdBI mosaic+30m

→ ALMA Cycle I B7 & B9

Wide dust gap Warped disk Asymmetric dust ring

→ at least, one undetected Companion of 0.03 Msun at a radius of 45 AU.

→BUT cannot explain the apparent counter-rotation of the gas in the outer spirals

 → A projection effect ?
 → accreting gas infalling preferentially well above/or below the main disc plane from the surrounding remnar envelope along quasi parabolic/spiral like trajectori



Wide dust gap Warped disk Asymmetric dust ring

 \rightarrow at least, one undetec Companion of 0.03 Msu at a radius of 45 AU.

 \rightarrow BUT cannot explain the apparent counter-rotatio of the gas in the outer s

 → A projection effect ?
 → accreting gas infalling preferentially well above below the main disc plan from the surrounding ren envelope along quasi parabolic/spiral like traje



An artist view (or astronomer dream) of the HD 142527 system ... Likely also valid for AB Aur which should be still accreting above/below the disk mid-plane...



Inner Disks & Cavities What the observation tells us ...

Geometry of inner gas and dust disks ? \rightarrow Inner ~ R <= 20 AU (snowline ~ 1-3 AU for Ttauri star...)

10⁴ yr ~ Class 0: large (1000 AU or more) flattened envelope \rightarrow WAIT for ALMA results

10⁵ yr ~ Class I: disk shape , what about rotation ? \rightarrow Some holes in binary systems ... CB26 ?

10⁶ yr ~ Class II: rotating (Keplerian) disk

- resolved structure

 $(\mathsf{T}=\mathsf{0})$

- some large disks R~ 500-800 AU (likely biaised)

 \rightarrow More and more inner cavities and spiral features ...

→ Transition disks / planet formation (G/D ~ 100)

→WHAT ABOUT OLDER (gas-free) Disks ?

Imaging Gas-free Disks with ALMA Fomalhaut

Boley et al 2012 - half ring (mosacing needed)

These submm observations demonstrate that the parent body population is 13-19 AU wide with a sharp inner and outer boundary



Imaging Gas-free Disks with ALMA AU Mic 10Myr-old, M-type star

MacGregor et al 2012 - 1.3mm data, obtained at 0."6 resolution (6AU)

The cold dust belt of mass ~1 Mmoon is resolved in the radial direction with a rising emission profile that peaks sharply at the location of the outer edge of the "birth ring" of planetesimals hypothesized to explain the midplane scattered light gradients.



Fig. 2.— (*left*) The observed 1.3 mm emission from AU Mic, (*center*) the best-fit model (see §3.3), and (*right*) the imaged residuals. Contours are drawn at 4σ (120 µJy beam⁻¹) intervals.



ALMA = high angular and spectral resolutions

- 1) Inner disks ~30 AU \rightarrow up to ~ 2-3 AU (150 pc) resolution at 1.3mm
- 2) Departure from 2D geometry
- 3) Dynamics & Gravitation

CO lines \rightarrow robust tracers (excitation cond.) of kinetics and physics (density, temperature)

Gas Disk Structure: Large temperature/density changes within a few AU

1) Temperature & Density determination:

Vertical stratification, molecular layer location - warm versus colder ? Absolute disk mass, mass(r,z) , best fit ?

2) **Turbulence:** map of $DV(r,z) \rightarrow ALMA$ will open a new domain (dynamics)

Dust Disk Structure:

1) Temperature & Density determination:

2) Grains properties

Grain size varies with (r,z) – settling, radial and vertical variations, evolution with time? UV extinction & G/D variable in (r,z) -Interactions with gas disks ? surface chemistry needed

Molecular complexity ? How far can we go ? (depends on ALMA characteristics)